#### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

## Present Invention and Pending Claims

The present invention relates to 2-amino-6-benzyloxypurine methanolate, a crystal thereof, and a method of preparation thereof. Claims 4, 7, and 9 are pending.

#### Amendments to the Claims

Claims 6 and 8 have been canceled. No new matter has been added by way of these amendments.

### Summary of the Office Action

The Office Action does not reiterate the anticipation rejections set forth in the preceding Office Action. However, the Office Action reiterates the rejection of claims 6 and 8 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as allegedly failing to comply with the enablement requirement.

Applicants thank Examiner Birch for the courtesies extended to Applicants' representative Jason Miller in the telephone message dated October 9, 2007. In the message, the Examiner indicated that the Office Action is correct, but that the "Office Action Summary" cover sheet was filled out incorrectly. According to the Examiner, the "Office Action Summary" should have been updated to specify that claims 4, 7, and 9 are allowed and that only claims 6 and 8 stand rejected.

Reconsideration of the pending claims is hereby requested.

# Discussion of the Enablement Rejection

As discussed above, Applicants have canceled claims 6 and 8. Accordingly, the enablement rejection under section 112 is most and should be withdrawn.

### Conclusion

Applicants respectfully submit that the patent application is in condition for allowance. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference would expedite the

prosecution of the subject application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

John Kilyk, Jr., Reg. N. 30,763 LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD.

Two Prudential Plaza, Suite 4900

180 North Stetson Avenue

Chicago, Illinois 60601-6731

(312) 616-5600 (telephone)

(312) 616-5700 (facsimile)

Date: October 24, 2007